BOĞAZİÇİMUN 2026

International Air Transport Association (IATA)

STUDY GUIDE

Agenda Items:
1) Enhancing Regulatory Frameworks within IATA to Prevent Wildlife Trafficking
2) Harmonizing Aviation Security and Worldwide Crime Control to Prevent Human Trafficking through Air Transport Networks

Under Secretaries-General: Barış Yavaş, Eda Güçhan
Academic Assistants: Defne Kurçenli, Çağla Alkan

Table of Contents

IATA

Letter from the Secretary-General

Meritorious Participants,

I am Duru Yavuz, a senior Political Science and Sociology student at Boğaziçi University. As the Secretary-General, I would like to welcome you all to the 8th official session of BoğaziçiMUN, BoğaziçiMUN'26.

Our academic and organizational teams have been working endlessly to ensure the best BoğaziçiMUN experience for our participants. I would like to begin by thanking our Deputy Secretaries-General, Ömer Alp Şiringöz and İpek Şen for their efforts, support and friendship. And the biggest of thank you's goes to our Director-General and Club co-Coordinator Kaan Berker and our Deputy Director-General Ekin Asyalı, this conference would not be what it is without their ambition and hard work. I would also like to thank our Club co-Coordinator İrem Ayber for all her help in both academic and organizational capacities.

BoğaziçiMUN has always been a ground where we aim to achieve academic and organizational excellence, but it has also been a place where old friends get to gather and work towards a common goal, even if it is in the middle of a snowstorm. In our experience as a club and as a conference, we have broken and reshaped barriers, we have learned what it means to be in a close-knit team, we have looked to the past and embraced our legacy, and we have looked to the future to envision an improved BoğaziçiMUN.

Throughout the years, we have gained new experience, knowledge, and strength; and found a sense of community in our members and participants. In each BoğaziçiMUN; we have seen you, our participants, learn and grow with us; expanding your knowledge of international relations, world politics, and history. It was this growth and the chance to witness your dedication and curiosity that have inspired us to continue improving BoğaziçiMUN every single year. And because we get to see your enthusiasm, because we get to engage our participants' minds with the pressing issues of our time, our efforts are made worthwhile. This year, we have prepared for you a wide range of unique committees and agenda items, all thanks to our wonderful Under

Secretaries-General who have worked closely with our academic team to bring fresh perspectives and discussions to the conference.

After months of preparation on top of our years of foundational experience, BoğaziçiMUN is finally ready to open its doors to you and 'Bridge the Gap' once again this February. At the intersection of diplomacy, international relations and creative decision-making, BoğaziçiMUN stands as a chance to take matters into your own hands. Let us embark on this mission together and broaden our horizons as well as our community. It is my utmost honor to welcome you all to BoğaziçiMUN 2026, I hope to meet you soon.

Kind regards,

Duru Yavuz
Secretary-General of Boğaziçi MUN 2026

Letter from the Under Secretaries-General

Most Esteemed Participants,

It is our utmost pleasure to welcome you to BoğaziçiMUN'26. We, Barış Yavaş and Eda Güçhan, as the Under Secretaries-General, and Çağla Alkan and Ayşe Defne Kurçenli as the Academic Assistants, are honored to have the opportunity to attend this distinguished conference and to serve you as the Chair Board of the IATA Committee. Through this committee, we invite you to engage with the complex intersection of law, security, and global governance within the framework of international civil aviation.

After months of meticulous preparation, we are proud to present a committee centered on the exploitation of civil aviation networks by transnational organized crime, with a particular focus on wildlife trafficking and human trafficking. This agenda challenges delegates to examine the structural gaps between international criminal law, aviation regulation, and state responsibility. Throughout the committee, you will be expected to deliberate on issues such as the non-binding nature of aviation standards, the regulatory authority of private actors such as IATA, state sovereignty, human rights obligations, and the feasibility of creating unified and enforceable international frameworks.

The discussions will demand a careful balance between operational efficiency and legal accountability, reflecting the real-world dilemmas faced by the international community. We strongly advise our delegates to arrive well prepared, as this committee will involve complex legal reasoning, high-level negotiations, and demanding diplomatic challenges. The agenda is designed not only to test your knowledge of international law, but also to push your ability to propose realistic, structured, and legally sound solutions to deeply rooted global problems.

IATA

Before concluding, we would like to extend our sincere gratitude to the honorable Secretary-General of BoğaziçiMUN'26, Duru Yavuz, the Director-General, Kaan Berker, and the entire BoğaziçiMUN team for their trust, dedication, and professionalism in making this conference possible. We truly hope that this committee will provide you with an academic and diplomatic experience that you will carry with you long after the conference concludes. We very much look forward to welcoming you to BoğaziçiMUN'26.

Best Regards,

Barış Yavaş & Eda Güçhan Alkan   Ayşe Defne Kurçenli & Çağla Alkan

Under Secretaries-General   Academic Assistants

I. Global Introduction & Framing of the Agenda

I.A. IATA's Mandate, Legal Nature, and De Facto Regulatory Power

The International Air Transport Association, IATA, holds a unique and influential position globally within the aviation sector. Elaborating on good governance practices, IATA was established as an industry led organization -not a treaty based body-. Where this association excels can be seen clearly with its representational airlines memberships: accounting for over 80% of global air traffic IATA effectively shapes commercial aviation operations on a daily basis[1]. This dual structure which is formally non binding yet operationally dominant, creates the standing point of the regulatory dilemmas to be faced by this committee.

Unlike intergovernmental organizations, IATA does <u>not</u> derive its authority from international law. It does not have the authority to create legally binding regulations for states, nor does it have the enforcement soft powers under international criminal or human rights laws. The aforementioned responsibilities remain solely within the domain of states, led by binding

---

[1] IATA,2023

IATA

instruments such as the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime (UNTOC). Alas in practice, private companies -airlines- demonstrate higher compliance in IATA operational standards than with non binding state led or UN issued guidelines. Compliance to IATA standards and frameworks allows companies market access, eligibility, covered insurance requirements and reputational standing thus affecting access to global aviation networks and thus their financial capital flows depending on such sector initiatives.

This de facto regulatory power is exercised through requirements for membership, operational as well as governance related standards, but most importantly compliance audits. IATA standards allow the metrification of procedures, norms and mechanisms in areas such as but not limited to airline operations, passenger and cargo handling and staff training. The most prominent structure is IOSA (IATA Operational Safety Audit), which functions as a prerequisite for sector credibility and market access for the industry even though it is formally marked as voluntary. Airlines failing to meet the requirements risk exclusion and many other challenges like previously mentioned.

This reality creates a regulatory paradox: states are legally responsible for preventing and prosecuting transnational crimes facilitated through aviation yet, daily operational control of aviation systems lies by IATA with its private actor coordination capability. The end product of this governance landscape *where responsibility is public, but power is -partially- privatized*; the core structural imbalance rises with aviation networks being exploited for transnational crimes.

I.B. Aviation Networks as Critical Infrastructure for Transnational Crime

Commercial aviation has become a pillar of global infrastructure during the last decades with globalization following an immense high trend.This boom of interest and need feeds legitimate trade and mobility, but becomes also a playing ground for transnational criminal activity. The pillars bottomline: speed, global reach, and high volume throughput; creates a particularly interesting ground for crimes that rely on rapid cross-border movement and specially concealment within law binding systems.

Approaching the agenda from a wildlife trafficking perspective, aviation is used to transport high value and low volume "products" such as but not limited to ivory, rhino horn, exotic birds, tusks and processed animal parts. The mentioned products, as they are compact, şucrative and can be concealed within a passenger luggage, makes them well suited for illicit air transport. According to the UNODC (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime), wildlife constitutes a multi billion dollar illicit economy, with air transport playing a key role in supplying destination markets within East Asia, the Middle East and Europe.[2]

Similarly, if we look at the agenda from a human trafficking approach; human trafficking networks increasingly exploit aviation to transport victims across borders bypassing legal systems with forged or coercively used documentation. Unlike major migrations by land or sea, aviation based trafficking involves victims who pass through airports appearing legally compliant, which creates an immense tension in detection evading. The ILO (International Labour Organization) estimates that over 27 million people globally are trapped in forced labor or exploitation; with women and children disproportionately affected[3]. Among these alarming facts it is important to bear in mind that precise figures on illicit air trafficking remain difficult to quantify due to underreporting, nonetheless law enforcement and NGO activity reports consistently identify aviation as a key enabler in recruitment, transit and exploitation of men.

These risks become more material due to several structural vulnerabilities. Transit zones, where jurisdictional oversight lacks and operational intensity is high, creates ambiguity in intervention: which state bears responsibility? High passenger volumes, estimated nearly to be tens of millions annually depending on the major hub, limit individualized vigor. In cargo logistics the priority shifts: aviation security and threats such as explosives and weapons come to matter, while non-security illicit materials including wildlife products receive limited attention. These structural vulnerabilities do not solely rise due to isolated failures or negligence of workers. These are systematic features of designed functional efficiency in global aviation, which shows a tension between facilitation and control.

---

[2] UNODC, 2022
[3] ILO, 2022

IATA

I.C. Severity of Wildlife and Human Trafficking as Global Threats

Wildlife and human trafficking are not regional nor emerging issues, they are both globally recognized as serious transnational crimes with profound consequences. Outside of the ethical standpoint of these agendas their severity also lies in their cascading impact across security, human rights and environmental sustainability.

Wildlife trafficking has a direct effect on biodiversity, affecting and endangering ecosystems that communities depend upon for their livelihoods. Beyond the environmental consequences, the financial ties of these illicit activities raise alarms as well. Revenues generated through illegal wildlife trade have been linked to financing of organized criminal networks and armed groups, augmenting instability and corruption[4]. Wildlife trade is estimated to generate billions of dollars ,annually, which rivals other major illegal markets.

Human trafficking represents a systemic violation of human rights including slavery, torture and inhumane treatment. But what points out to a bigger global challenge is the fact that exploitation is clearly gendered and undoubtedly age specific: with girls and women disproportionately subjected to sexual exploitation and domestic servitude[5]

I.D. International Legal and Normative Anchors

With the severity of these global risks, the international community has been taking action about these issues. The United Nations Convention against Transactional Organized Crime and its protocols on trafficking persons and smuggling, allow binding obligations for states to be set that criminalize, prevent and enhance cooperation against such crimes, creating the legal backbone of global anti-trafficking activities and efforts.

Aviation specific norms are developed under ICAO (International Civil Aviation Organization), setting globalized standards and practices for security. Alas their mandates generally focus

---

[4] UNODC, 2020
[5] UNICEF, 2021

IATA

narrowly on safety, leaving major gaps regarding non norm or non traditional threats like trafficking.

OHCHR (Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights reinforces human rights obligations, emphasizing victim protection and state responsibility. UNODC (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime) lead in enforcement coordination, data analysis and capacity building. Yet these anchors are prepared to be broad and applicable to all situations, creating limited integration into specific aviation related operational frameworks.

In sum, the result is a partial and fragmented governance in which voluntary aviation practices and binding legislation try to overlap and interact but actually create a space for exploitation.

I.E. Unified Regulatory End Goal

Thus, this committee is convened on the alarming reality that fragmentation is no longer acceptable nor sustainable. Wildlife and human trafficking operate under the same aviation systems, the same jurisdictional gaps and the same compliance ambiguities; approaching the matters as separate policies gets in front of their shared structural roots. The proposed end goal is a single regulatory framework based within IATA's operational reach, but is also legally binding to states and international law. This unified framework would recognize that effective prevention goes through integrated standards, intelligence sharing, compliance mechanisms and most importantly cooperation and collaboration across the aviation sector as well as member states to the UN and international NGOs.

II. Agenda Topic I — Macro Analysis

Wildlife Trafficking through Civil Aviation

II.A. Legal and Operational Definition

Wildlife trafficking through aviation- refers generally to illicit transportation of live animals, animal parts and wildlife products using commercial air travel systems. Efforts include

IATA

concealment of trafficked wildlife in air cargo systems, within passenger luggages and in severe but limited cases the abuse of special handling channels. Where wildlife trafficking differs from localized and region based trade is the fact that the aviation sector enabled the illegal activities to be predominantly organized and profit driven.

Approaching the subject from a legislative point, these activities are in direct violation of the CITES (Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora). CITES regulates and/or prohibits cross border trade in protected species; however even tough it establishes binding laws for state, it does not act on aviation specific operational duties of airlines, airports or cargo handlers. Thus their enforcement relies heavily on customs authorities and border agents whose jurisdiction is mot necessarily integrated into aviation workflows.

On an operational perspective this situation draws a disconnection between systems. Where airlines are mainly responsible for handling passengers and cargo safely and efficientşy, they are not required neither by law or industry standards to actively search and detect wildlife contrabands. Thus, the picture is clear: illegal activities occur within legally functioning transport ecosystems, exploiting legislative gaps of environmental, criminal and aviation law.

II.B. Historical Escalation Linked to Aviation Expansion

Analysing this issue historically, we can see that specially large scale wildlife trafficking was dependent on maritime transport, especially for bulk products and commodities like ivory or timber. With time, over the past two decades, the trafficking networks have increasingly shifted toward aviation. This shift, while also marking globalization and technological advancement trends, shows us a correspondence with the expansion and restricting of global aviation.

The increase in 'hub and spoke' aviation models creates in turn a high efficient corridor for transits linking biodiversity rich source regions with consumer markets. Most major international hubs in Southeast Asia, Middle East and Africa serve as meeting points where both passengers and cargo are rapidly distributed across continents. For illicit trafficking these meeting hubs create an operational ecosystem which is fast, anonymous -due to volume-, and a jurisdictional grey area.

IATA

Meanwhile, the increase in express cargo services and same-day logistics have reshaped aviation into a high-volume and high-frequency system. This situation while enabling global commerce creates a difficult to audit environment and decreases opportunities for high detailed inspections. UNODC assessments point out that traffickers have been increasingly favoring air cargo because the system allows small consignments to be moved efficiently and quickly; minimizing any losses regarding seizure and overall risks[6].

II.C. Quantified Global Scale

With aforementioned underreporting in wildlife trafficking several NGOs carry the weight of statistical analyses. Available data from UNODC seizures show that Africa-Asia and Latin America-Europe air routes are the most dominant corridors for trafficked wildlife products, especially those who are on route for high demand consumer markets[7]

Seizure patterns in airports allow us to see and analyze concentration trends or specific commodities. The most frequently seized products comes as Pangolin scales, generally used in traditional meditation;followed by exotic birds which are trafficked alive via passenger luggages, reptiles and primates transported through false declared cargo consignments[8].

What creates a critical standpoint is the fact that UNODC and CITES analyses create a non-changing image: a distinct disparity between detected cases and estimated total volumes. This disequilibrium reflects not solely enforcement capacity limits but most importantly shows the lack of systematic detection obligations within aviation operations.

II.D. Structural Persistence Factors

Systematic and structural factors show clearly why wildlife trafficking persisted despite transnational laws. First, a clear absence of aviation specific mandates regarding wildlife detection; no international standards or even national aviation regulations have a requirement for cargo handlers and airlines to screen specifically for contraband.

---

[6] UNODC, 2022
[7] UNODC,2022
[8] WCO, 2021

IATA

Second, existing security frameworks in air freight cargo prioritize aviation security such as terrorism over any other risks of environmental crimes. Screening technologies as well as risk profiling systems are thus made for safety, not for detection. Finally, commercial airlines face minimal criminal liability in case of wildlife trafficking, consequences are typically in the scope of reputation and financial capital, rather than criminal prosecution. In sum, these factors outline why wildlife trafficking remains a low-risk externality within commercial aviation operations.

III. Agenda Topic I — In-Depth Analysis & Failures

Istanbul Airport

On December 22, 2024, a baby gorilla was apprehended at Istanbul Airport. When Turkish customs officials found a five-month-old gorilla concealed inside a tiny wooden crate within airport cargo on December 22, 2024, they stopped an extremely unusual and unsettling attempt at wildlife trafficking at Istanbul Airport. The shipment, which was supposedly headed for Bangkok, Thailand, but originated in Nigeria, lacked the necessary permits under the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), which tightly controls the cross-border movement of endangered species.

The cargo was flagged by customs enforcement teams from the Ministry of Trade's Smuggling and Intelligence Directorate during risk-analysis inspections, which are regular checks meant to prevent the illegal trade in wildlife and contraband. Upon opening the crate, officers found the baby gorilla, reportedly wearing a small T-shirt, crammed into the confined space. The baby was seized immediately and handed over to the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry's Nature Conservation and National Parks Directorate (DKMP), as western lowland gorillas are listed under CITES Appendix I, which denotes the highest level of endangerment, and virtually all international commercial trade is prohibited.

After being taken into DKMP custody, the gorilla, later given the name "Zeytin," which is Turkish for "Olive", was given intensive veterinary care at a licensed facility in the Polonezköy neighborhood of Istanbul after a public social media campaign to choose a name. Zeytin was shy

and underweight at first, but she responded well to treatment, gaining weight and adjusting to her new surroundings.

The case drew national and international attention not only because of its rarity, Turkey is not within the natural range of gorillas, but also because it emphasized several systemic issues in aviation wildlife trafficking:

-Transit vulnerability: Istanbul's role as a major global air hub connecting Africa with Asia made it a logical transit point for traffickers attempting to move live wildlife illegally.

-Documentation abuse: The absence of proper CITES permits signaled deliberate concealment and misdeclaration by smugglers, relying on legitimate cargo networks to mask the illicit shipment.

-Public engagement and awareness: The Turkish government's use of a social naming campaign (#BenceIsmi) and information updates helped raise broad awareness about illegal wildlife trade and conservation.

In 2025 and beyond, authorities and conservation experts engaged with international partners, including CITES authorities and Nigerian counterparts, to determine appropriate long-term outcomes for Zeytin. Initially, there were plans to repatriate her to Nigeria for reintegration into a sanctuary or conservation program. However, a DNA analysis revealed she was a Western lowland gorilla of a lineage not native to Nigeria, complicating repatriation and resulting in the decision for her to remain under professional care in Türkiye.

Vietnam

Vietnam's national involvement in the trafficking of ivory and pangolins (2022–2024)

The Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA), a reputable non-governmental organization that monitors the illegal wildlife trade worldwide, conducted independent investigations between 2022 and 2024 and discovered that Vietnam was responsible for a sizable percentage of the world's wildlife product seizures by weight. These conclusions are based on seizure records gathered in EIA's A Pivotal Player report, which used open-source seizure data and field research

IATA

conducted during that time to analyze trafficking patterns for rhino horn, pangolin scales, and elephant ivory. Among the analysis's main conclusions are:

-Elephant ivory: Between 2022 and 2024, at least 30% of the total weight of elephant ivory seized globally was attributed to Vietnam.

-Pangolin scales: By weight, Vietnam was responsible for about 24% of all pangolin scale seizures worldwide. Rhino horn: Vietnam was implicated in about 16 % of global rhino horn seizures by weight between 2022–2024.

These figures refer specifically to total seizure weights recorded globally where Vietnam was implicated. Which can mean seizures either within Vietnam or involving Vietnamese criminal networks linked to trafficking routes. These are widely cited in research on wildlife trade dynamics.

Context & Interpretation

What "implicated" means:
  Vietnam's role as recorded in seizure data does not necessarily mean all trafficked goods remained within Vietnam. They may have been intercepted in other countries en route or linked to Vietnamese networks. Still, the statistical association by weight is significant because it indicates Vietnam's continued involvement in major illegal wildlife supply chains rather than isolated small-scale cases.

Why Vietnam figures so prominently:

-Traffickers exploit land borders with China, where illegal wildlife products can be smuggled and sold onward, including elephant ivory and pangolin scales.

-Vietnam's transportation infrastructure, which includes road, rail, sea, and air routes, provides multiple points where contraband can transit between source and destination markets.

-Organized crime networks have been documented operating both within Vietnam and between Vietnam and African source countries.

Enforcement & legal context:

Vietnam has taken steps to strengthen its domestic wildlife protection laws and enforcement capacity in recent years, but investigative reporting and seizure data suggest gaps remain. Particularly in transnational intelligence-led investigations, prosecution follow-through on large seizures, and coordinated action with source and transit partners internationally.

Nigeria

On July 31, 2025, a significant bird trafficking seizure occurred at Lagos International Airport.

At Murtala Muhammed International Airport (MMIA) in Lagos, Nigeria, officers of the Nigeria Customs Service (NCS) conducted one of the biggest wildlife trafficking interceptions in the nation in late July 2025. A shipment of more than 1,600 live exotic birds, including ring-necked parakeets (parrots) and green and yellow-fronted canaries, which are all protected species under international conservation agreements, was discovered by customs officials during a routine cargo inspection. These birds were being prepared for export to Kuwait without the required legal documentation.

Specifics of the seizure:

About 1,620 live Ringneck Parakeets and canaries, which are protected species because of their vulnerability to overexploitation in the exotic pet trade and private collections, were included in the shipment.

CITES permits and other paperwork required by the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) were absent from the cargo. Nigeria is a signatory to CITES, which requires documentation and authorization for any international trade in species on the list.

The Nigeria Customs Service is still dedicated to stopping illegal wildlife cargo, and Comptroller Michael Awe, the Customs Area Controller for MMIA, declared that "no illegal shipment will slip through the cracks."

Once seized, the birds were handed over to the Nigeria National Parks Service for care, rehabilitation, and assessment of possible reintroduction into protected areas. Authorities also

initiated an investigation to identify and prosecute those responsible for organizing and concealing the illegal shipment.

Why This Case Matters

1. Scale and species involved:

   With over 1,600 birds, this interception ranks among the largest wildlife trafficking seizures at Lagos airport in recent years, especially for live animal consignments. The species seized, mainly parrots and canaries, are frequently targeted by wildlife traffickers because of demand in the exotic pet market and for ornamental use abroad.

2. Transit hub dynamics:

   Lagos is a major international aviation node in West Africa. This case illustrates how traffickers attempt to exploit cargo routes linking Africa to the Middle East and beyond when moving live fauna without required documentation.

3. Regulatory context (CITES):

   Because Nigeria is a party to CITES, any lawful transport of protected species must include appropriate permits demonstrating that trade does not harm wild populations. That this shipment lacked such permits signals clear trafficking intent rather than misclassification or error.

4. Enforcement response:

   Nigerian customs' discovery and interdiction of this large consignment show both the value of risk-based cargo inspections at airports and the ongoing challenge of preventing illegal wildlife trade through air transport corridors.

IV. Agenda Topic II — Macro Analysis

IV.A. Legal Scope and Typology

Human trafficking, often described as a dark shadow cast by the "business of freedom" that is aviation, is not a monolithic crime but a complex mechanism of exploitation defined by three distinct legal pillars: the act, the means, and the purpose. To fully grasp the legal scope of this

agenda, one must look to the Palermo Protocol, which serves as the international lodestar for defining what constitutes this violation of human dignity.

The "Act" involves the recruitment, transportation, transfer, or receipt of persons. In the context of our discussion, the aviation industry acts as the primary vector for this movement, often crossing at least one international border in over 66% of recorded cases. The "Means" are what differentiate trafficking from voluntary migration; it involves the erosion of consent through threat, use of force, abduction, fraud, or the abuse of power over a vulnerable individual. Finally, the "Purpose" is always exploitation; a destination of misery that justifies the risk taken by the trafficker.

Behind closed doors at airports, things happen that need sorting into clear types. Putting them in boxes helps make sense of the mess inside cabins and terminals alike

Trapped by false job offers, like modeling or housekeeping, many women and kids end up forced into sexual labor once they reach their destination. Deception opens the door; exploitation follows close behind. Forced labour happens when people are trapped in jobs using threats or debts. Their bosses might take their passports to keep them stuck. Wages stay way too low, while working situations turn dangerous. Control like this makes escape nearly impossible. People suffer quietly under these harsh rules.

Locked away inside houses, people suffer in silence, trapped by relatives or lovers, forced to work without respect or freedom. These quiet prisons hide abuse behind closed doors, far from public view. Not every chain is made of metal; some are built with fear and shame. Lives get worn down slowly, day after unseen day. Forced crime work happens when smugglers move people to make them steal, grow drugs, or cheat welfare systems; all so gangs can profit. Taking organs without consent is less common by air, yet still counts as global trafficking.

It is also vital for delegates to distinguish between Human Trafficking and Migrant Smuggling, a distinction that is frequently blurred in institutional practice. While trafficking is fundamentally an offense against a person's human rights based on exploitation, smuggling is typically an offense against the state's migration laws, involving the illegal entry of a person into a country for a fee. However, as criminal networks become more sophisticated, they often exploit the same

"air transport networks" and document fraud techniques for both crimes, creating a "grey zone" where a smuggled migrant may quickly transition into a trafficking victim once they lose control of their journey.

By understanding these legal boundaries, we can move beyond seeing trafficking as a mere security breach and recognize it as a structural failure of our global transport systems to protect the vulnerable.

IV.B. Evolution of Aviation-Based Trafficking

Just as the history of drugs shows a constant search for "relief," the history of human trafficking shows a constant search by criminals for the most efficient routes to move their "cargo." Aviation, often called the "business of freedom," has unfortunately evolved into a primary tool for this exploitation. For many years, trafficking was mostly seen as a crime occurring on land or at sea, but as air travel became more accessible and globalized, criminal networks shifted their focus to the skies to take advantage of speed and anonymity.

The preference for air transport is not accidental; it is a calculated choice. Statistics now show that over 66% of trafficking victims are moved across at least one international border, making the aviation industry a major space for this crime. Traffickers use the fast and often confusing nature of flight schedules to disorient their victims. By taking a victim far from their home and dropping them in an unfamiliar culture with a different language, traffickers ensure that the victim feels helpless and is more likely to rely on their captor for survival.

The industry's recognition of this problem has been a slow and difficult process: **Early Silence.**

The aviation sector long saw trafficking as solely a police matter, so obvious cases slipped through. Yet oversight often missed what was visible all along. In 2009, cabin crews from four airlines spotted and helped save people in distress , triggering the launch of targeted training programs soon afterward. Grassroots activism led to new laws. One outcome was the 2016 FAA Re-Authorization Act in the U.S. That law required flight attendants to receive instruction on recognizing signs of human trafficking. Over time, reporting procedures became part of their duties. Change began at the community level, yet reached federal policy. Borders have become a central focus, 80 percent of international trafficking pathways run through official checkpoints

IATA

like airports. That reality positions aviation workers, whether they handle luggage or fly planes, directly within detection efforts.

Nowhere is the struggle more evident than in skies where loopholes thrive. Despite guidelines from organizations like ICAO, including tools such as Annex 9, enforcement remains weak across borders. Because regulations differ so widely between nations, traffickers exploit these inconsistencies freely. Much like outdated drug laws that stayed confined within national limits, current efforts against air trafficking fall short globally. Without enforceable treaties tying every country to strong standards, coordination falters unpredictably.

IV.C. Quantified Severity

When we look at the actual numbers behind the crisis, the reality is quite heavy: human trafficking has become the second largest and fastest-growing criminal industry in the world. It is estimated that 27.6 million people are currently trapped in forced labor, and because nearly 80% of international trafficking journeys pass through official border points like airports, the aviation sector is nowhere but right in the middle of this disaster. The scale of this issue is further highlighted by the fact that over 66% of all trafficking victims are moved across at least one international border, making the speed and reach of aviation a primary tool for exploiters. Even though many cases go unreported, the data we do have shows that air transport is a recurrent channel for this movement due to its efficiency and low visibility once a victim is inside the system.

IV.D. Structural Barriers to Resolution

Despite the clear severity of the situation, we face major structural barriers that stop us from reaching a solution. The biggest problem is that the current international rules, such as those in ICAO's Annex 9, are "non-binding" recommendations rather than strict laws. This means that the fight against trafficking depends mostly on the "political will" of individual countries and the "goodwill" of airline operators, leading to a very uneven and fragmented response across the globe. Criminal networks are experts at finding these weak spots and "regulatory loopholes" to move victims through the air without being detected. Furthermore, there is often a lot of confusion between "smuggling" and "trafficking" at the institutional level, which makes it even

IATA

harder for airport staff to know exactly what they are looking for and how to report it. Without a "binding" legal framework that forces every state to follow the same standards, we are essentially leaving the door open for traffickers to continue exploiting our air transport networks.

V. Agenda Topic II — In-Depth Analysis & Failures

V.A. Named Human Trafficking Aviation Cases

While the numbers give us a sense of scale, specific cases reveal how traffickers actually operate within the aviation system. One of the most famous turning points occurred in 2009, when flight attendants on four different airlines independently identified and helped rescue victims, proving that aviation staff are often the only ones close enough to see the signs of control. In Brazil, for example, "Freedom in the Air" was implemented as a result of finding that traffickers were using the promise of a job, in the form of modeling or housekeeping work, as a lure for victims onto planes. Human trafficking cases such as these have a disturbing pattern: victims have been known to have foreign objects hidden in their undergarments in order to set off metal detectors and gain a moment alone with a member of airline security personnel, or a disturbing letter may have been left in an airplane restroom by a flight attendant.

V.B. Institutional Data Sources and Gaps

Though major bodies like IATA and ICAO deal with this problem, there are still some gaps in the data we provide. Currently, we rely on bodies like the International Labour Organization and the International Organization for Migration to estimate the number of people forced into involuntary labor. Since human trafficking often goes unseen, some people are left unaccounted for. The most obvious gap, of course, is the lack of a worldwide mechanism for real-time reporting. Most reporting today is done locally, and because countries don't always use the same definitions or reporting protocols, a lot of information gets lost in the hand-off between aviation authorities and law enforcement.

V.C. Incentive Conflicts

There is a natural tension between the business goals of the aviation industry and the slow, careful work needed to stop trafficking. Airlines are built for speed, efficiency, and profit; often

IATA

referred to as the "business of freedom". Suspending the flight or holding the passenger for interrogation on the basis of mere suspicions incurs costs and poses legal risks if the airline is proved wrong. Thus, an obvious conflict develops: the goal of facilitating and making travel comfortable may come into conflict with the strict airline security screening procedures which could mean life-saving.

V.D. Accountability Vacuums

Because the current ICAO provisions in Annex 9 are "non-binding," we have created what is essentially an accountability vacuum. In this environment, if an airline or a state fails to train its staff or ignores a suspicious pattern, there are no real international consequences. The responsibility is often shifted back and forth: airlines say it is a government border issue, while governments say they need the airlines to provide better intelligence. This lack of a "binding" legal anchor means that traffickers can simply move their operations to airports or regions where they know the "grip" of the law is looser, taking advantage of the fact that nobody is legally forced to be the "policeman" of the skies.

V.E. Named Past Initiatives and Critique

We have seen many well-meaning initiatives over the years, such as the UNODC's "Blue Heart Campaign" and IATA's "#EyesOpen" campaign. These have done a great job of raising awareness and creating tools like the IOM/IATA e-learning courses for cabin crew. The problem with these recent endeavors is that all of them are voluntary actions. Of course, there is more to it than that; this is not solely an issue of good intentions, and there is no legal requirement behind it. Some countries, such as the Dominican Republic and England, have called for stricter policies, although there is still no unified global reaction yet. Until these "best practices" are made mandatory worldwide, such actions are like a fence with loose boards, you can walk right through them, and so can the criminals.

VI.A. Shared Aviation Exploitation Patterns

When we look closely at how criminal networks use the "business of freedom," we see that human trafficking and migrant smuggling often follow the same paths. Just like we saw in the history of drugs, where every culture found a way to use substances for "relief," criminals have

always found ways to use travel systems for their own gain. These networks rely on the high speed and the vast connectivity of the aviation world to move people quickly before authorities can even realize something is wrong.

The patterns of exploitation are almost identical: traffickers and smugglers both look for "blind spots" in airport security and exploit the same technical gaps, such as using high-quality fake documents or abusing visa rules to get past border controls. In many cases, these groups even use the same " facilitators" inside the airport environment to bypass standard checks. Because nearly 80% of international trafficking journeys cross through official border points like airports, the aviation industry becomes the primary "vector" where these two crimes meet. Whether it is a victim forced into a flight or a migrant paying to be smuggled, the criminals are exploiting the same infrastructure, making it harder for the industry to tell these two problems apart.

VI.B. Explicit Regulatory Gaps Affecting Both Crimes

One of the most concerning issues is that our current international laws often treat smuggling and trafficking as two completely separate worlds, which creates a "grey area" that criminal networks love to exploit. While the aviation industry has made some progress in addressing human trafficking through manuals and guides, the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) currently lacks specific, formal measures to address migrant smuggling by air within its Facilitation Programme. This gap is dangerous because, as history shows, when rules are fragmented, they are weak.

This lack of a unified approach leads to several "explicit" problems:

-There is no clear international guidance that helps airport staff and air operators distinguish between a victim of trafficking and a smuggled migrant in a high-pressure environment.

-Current regulations do not specifically include tools for detecting the sophisticated methods smugglers use, such as the strategic abuse of visa-on-arrival systems or specific types of document fraud that differ from standard trafficking patterns.

-Because most anti-trafficking provisions in Annex 9 are "non-binding" recommendations, states are not legally forced to implement the technical guidance needed to catch either crime.

IATA

This creates a situation where a person might start their journey as a "smuggled migrant" but quickly become a "trafficking victim" as soon as their passport is taken away or they are forced into labor to pay for their flight. Without integrated laws, our regulatory systems are often too slow to recognize this transition, leaving both the migrants and the victims without the protection they need.

VI.C. Overlapping Stakeholders

The people standing on the front lines of this crisis are exactly the same for both human trafficking and migrant smuggling: the cabin crew, ground staff, security personnel, and immigration officers who manage the daily flow of the "business of freedom". Whether a person is being moved against their will as a trafficking victim or is paying a smuggler to cross a border, it is these "customer-facing" aviation workers who are in the best position to see the "signs of control", such as a passenger who is never allowed to be alone, someone who appears drugged or disoriented, or an individual whose travel documents are being held by a third party. Because the indicators of these two crimes overlap so significantly, it is inefficient to treat them as separate problems requiring separate responders. When these stakeholders are not unified by a shared set of instructions, we lose the chance for early detection, which is often the only opportunity to stop these crimes before the victim or migrant disappears into an illegal underground economy.

VI.D. Consequences of Fragmented Regulation

When regulations are fragmented, the result is a total lack of coordination that favors the criminal and punishes the victim. Because there is no "binding" international standard that covers both trafficking and smuggling across all ICAO member states, the global response remains uneven and broken. In this environment, criminal networks can easily engage in "forum shopping," moving their operations to airports or regions where they know the "grip" of the law is looser and the security protocols are almost non-existent. This fragmentation creates "safe havens" for traffickers and smugglers to operate without fear of consistent international consequences. This isn't just a technical or legal problem; it is a major security threat that weakens the integrity of the entire global aviation system. Just like the early anti-drug efforts that failed because they were only local, our current fragmented approach to aviation crime

IATA

compromises the human rights of millions of potential victims who are left unprotected by the very systems meant to ensure "seamless" and "secure" travel.

VI.E. Necessity of Integrated Regulation

To effectively address these intertwined threats, we must move toward an integrated regulatory framework that treats aviation security and worldwide crime control as a single, unified mission. We can no longer afford to maintain separate, non-binding rules for smuggling and trafficking when the criminal networks themselves are so well-integrated and capable of exploiting the same systemic vulnerabilities. In the current landscape, the "fragmentation" of our response acts as a gift to traffickers; while we debate which agency has jurisdiction, criminal syndicates use the seamless connectivity of the air transport network to disappear into the clouds. The reality of modern organized crime is that it does not respect the neat borders we draw between "security" and "facilitation," nor does it distinguish between a smuggled migrant and a trafficked victim once the profit is on the line. Therefore, our legal architecture must match the sophistication of our adversaries by bridging the gap between the administrative world of civil aviation and the enforcement world of criminal justice.

A shared legal framework could support a coordinated global reaction, if jurisdictions work toward common standards. Removing discretionary elements in instruction and documentation might ensure balanced outcomes across different centers, whether on remote runways or central command posts. Consistency may emerge when procedures stand firm, regardless of location.

A fresh angle on tackling human trafficking begins where systems overlap - aviation, border control, and policing. Yet in many nations, each operates alone: one guards flights, another chases offenders, neither shares what they know. Vital clues slip through when communication fails. Real progress shows up in places like Brazil and the Dominican Republic, where shared teams and unified records already make a difference. Merging anti-trafficking efforts into routine aviation audits could redefine priorities, placing people at the center of safety checks. Still, patchy rules lacking real authority only scratch the surface, leaving deeper flaws untouched.

VII.A. Binding IATA Standards

IATA

The history of international law teaches us that true change only occurs when "voluntary" agreements evolve into "binding" mandates. Currently, the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) provides specific guidelines within Annex 9 Facilitation, particularly provisions 8.49 and 8.50, which encourage states to train staff and establish reporting protocols. However, because these are currently classified as "Recommended Practices" rather than "Standards," their implementation is inconsistent and depends entirely on the political will of individual nations. This creates a dangerous landscape of "regulatory loopholes" and operational gaps that sophisticated criminal networks are quick to exploit, as they often target jurisdictions with the weakest oversight.

To build a truly unified framework, the first and most crucial pathway is to raise these provisions to the status of binding international standards. This proposal, supported by the Dominican Republic and 17 other Latin American Civil Aviation Commission (LACAC) Member States, argues that making these rules mandatory would establish a global "minimum standard" for every airport and airline in the world. Under such a framework, a "binding" mandate would mean that every Member State would be legally required to standardize the training of all aviation personnel from cabin crew to ground handlers, ensuring they can identify the specific behavioral indicators of trafficking that occur in "plain sight". Furthermore, states would be obligated to establish formal, anonymous, and protected reporting mechanisms so that staff can alert authorities without fear of legal or professional repercussions, moving beyond the current "goodwill" of individual operators.

By harmonizing national legal frameworks with the Palermo Protocol specifically within the civil aviation sector, we can close the operational gaps that currently exist between different jurisdictions. Making these standards binding ensures that aviation security is no longer just about protecting the aircraft from physical threats, but about protecting the human dignity and human rights of those inside it. This is not just a simple regulatory update; it is the construction of a solid legal anchor that prevents traffickers from simply moving their operations to the next "weakest link" in the global transport chain.

VII.B. Institutional Mechanisms

To support a binding legal framework, we must establish robust institutional mechanisms that bridge the gap between aviation security and global law enforcement. Currently, a dangerous "accountability vacuum" exists because there is no formal, integrated bridge connecting industry organizations like ICAO and IATA with specialized criminal justice agencies such as the UNODC or INTERPOL. Many treaties and pacts in history realized that no single nation could fight a transnational crime alone, we need a "Unified Institutional Architecture" where information flows seamlessly across borders. This requires the creation of a centralized global reporting hub, hosted perhaps by ICAO, where suspicious travel patterns and "named cases" can be recorded and shared in real-time with national civil aviation authorities and police forces, ensuring that intelligence gathered mid-flight is not lost upon landing.

Furthermore, these mechanisms must move beyond simple awareness and into the realm of structured, mandatory inter-agency cooperation. In practice, this means the implementation of a single, mandatory e-learning curriculum ,similar to the IOM/IATA e-course, that is updated annually to reflect the rapidly changing tactics of traffickers, such as the fake modeling job offers seen in the Brazil "Freedom in the Air" initiative. By standardizing this training delivery globally, we ensure that every airport employee, from security screening to ground handling, is operating from the same high-level playbook and understands exactly how to identify indicators of control without compromising the anonymity of the victim.

To truly close the legal and operational gaps, we must encourage the adoption of cross-agency task forces modeled after successful examples like the Dominican Republic. In this model, the aviation authority (IDAC), the national police, the Directorate General of Migration, and airport security corps (CESAC) work under a single, unified strategic plan to monitor international terminals. This institutional synergy ensures that when an airline staff member reports a suspicion, following the specific protocols laid out in ICAO Circ 352 or 357, there is a clear, multi-agency response team ready to intervene. This prevents the responsibility from being shifted between different departments and ensures that the aviation sector is not just a passive observer, but an active participant in global crime control.

As recommended in the US DOT ACHT 2024 report, institutional mechanisms must include the voices of survivors to ensure that our policies are grounded in reality. Survivor-informed policy

IATA

ensures that the "indicators" we teach are based on the actual experiences of those who were trafficked through air transport networks, moving beyond theoretical signs to recognize the subtle nuances of coercion. By integrating these lived experiences into our institutional framework, we reinforce the aviation industry's commitment to human rights and ensure that our "pathways to resolution" are not just efficient administrative procedures, but meaningful tools for the protection of human dignity. This approach transforms the industry's role from merely moving passengers to actively safeguarding the "business of freedom" from those who wish to exploit it.

VII.C. Enforcement Architecture

A binding law is only as strong as the machinery that enforces it, and in the world of aviation, that machinery must be both technical and commercial. To ensure that "Agenda Topic II" does not become another set of empty promises, we must build an enforcement architecture that moves beyond mere monitoring and into the realm of real consequences. The most effective way to achieve this is by integrating human trafficking prevention standards directly into the IATA Operational Safety Audit (IOSA). IOSA is already the global benchmark for airline safety management; by making anti-trafficking compliance a requirement for IOSA certification, we turn a humanitarian goal into a core business necessity. An airline that fails to demonstrate mandatory staff training or lacks a verified reporting protocol (as outlined in ICAO Doc 10171) would risk its certification, effectively losing its "license" to operate at the highest levels of international commerce.

This approach moves the responsibility from a vague "goodwill" commitment to a rigorous, audited technical requirement, ensuring that no airline can ignore the signs of exploitation in the name of efficiency. However, a "one-size-fits-all" punishment is rarely effective in a complex global industry, so we must also implement a system of graduated sanctions for non-compliance. Just as we saw in the early evolution of anti-drug laws where states started to "tighten their grips" through progressive taxes and policing, the aviation sector needs a tiered response to failure. For minor or first-time failures, such as a localized training gap or a delay in updating reporting manuals, the response should be mandatory corrective action plans and increased monitoring to ensure the gap is closed.

For systemic or repeated neglect, the architecture must allow for heavier penalties that reflect the gravity of the crime. These could include significant financial fines, the public "naming and shaming" of non-compliant operators, or even the restriction of flight rights into specific jurisdictions that demand high human rights standards. This tiered system ensures that while we support airlines in their efforts to improve, we also create a clear "red line" that makes the cost of ignoring trafficking much higher than the cost of stopping it. By aligning these sanctions with international treaties like the Palermo Protocol, we ensure that aviation-based trafficking is treated with the same legal seriousness as any other transnational organized crime.

Finally, for this architecture to be sustainable, it cannot only be built on "sticks"; it must also offer "carrots" in the form of incentives for early adoption and best practice sharing. We should create a "Gold Standard" recognition for airlines and airports that go above and beyond the minimum binding requirements. Those that actively share their "intelligence" and "named cases" to help others improve. These leaders could be rewarded with reduced insurance premiums, "Fast Track" status in certain facilitation programs, or public certification as a "Human-Rights Verified" carrier. By encouraging the sharing of operational intelligence, we break down the silos that traffickers love to hide in and create a "virtuous cycle" where being a leader in human rights becomes a competitive advantage. This dual approach of audited enforcement and strategic incentives ensures that the entire industry moves forward together, leaving no "weak links" for criminal networks to exploit.

When establishing "binding" international standards, the global community must navigate the complex boundaries of national sovereignty to ensure that collective security does not overstep the legal autonomy of individual states. To build a framework that is both effective and respected, the aviation industry must find a delicate balance where national enforcement remains the prerogative of the state while operational duties are harmonized across the international network. This means that while ICAO sets the mandatory "Standards" for how to identify and report trafficking, the actual power to arrest, prosecute, and manage borders remains strictly with national authorities. We are not proposing a global policing unit, but rather a universal "playbook" that ensures every Member State maintains a baseline of vigilance against transnational crime.

IATA

By harmonizing the operational duties of airline staff we ensure that a cabin crew member on a small regional airline follows the same high-quality detection protocol as one working for a major international carrier. This approach aligns with the legal independence of each country while removing the "operational confusion" that allows traffickers to slip through the gaps in the corrupted system.

Furthermore, the implementation of such a framework must account for the reality that not all airlines or states possess the same financial resources or technical infrastructure. To ensure feasibility, we advocate for a phased implementation strategy that aligns with ICAO's "No Country Left Behind" initiative, providing smaller states and developing carriers with the necessary technical assistance. This includes access to low-cost digital tools and standardized training materials, such as the IOM/IATA e-learning modules, to ensure that economic constraints do not become security loopholes. By fostering mentorship programs where larger carriers share "best practices," the industry can transform security intelligence into a collective global asset.

Finally, this balance must be maintained through clear "grace periods" that allow carriers a realistic timeline to reach full compliance with binding standards, provided they demonstrate a verified roadmap for improvement. By offering a path that is both mandatory and manageable, the international community can avoid the political gridlock that often stalls vital treaties. This ensures that while every nation's sovereignty is respected, the entire aviation sector moves toward a future where global connectivity is no longer exploited at the cost of human rights. Ultimately, this balanced architecture creates a hostile environment for traffickers while protecting the operational integrity of the world's most critical transport network.

VII.E. Safeguards

Establishing a "binding" legal framework is a powerful step toward securing the global aviation network, but it must be built with strong safeguards to ensure that anti-trafficking measures do not inadvertently cause new harms. The first and most critical safeguard is the implementation of anti-profiling protections. Our aviation protocols must be strictly grounded in "behavioral indicators" rather than a passenger's race, nationality, gender, or religion. To prevent discrimination, mandatory training must focus on objective "signs of control", such as a

IATA

passenger who is not allowed to speak for themselves, appears disoriented or drugged, or lacks possession of their own travel documents. By emphasizing these behavior-based triggers, we ensure that security measures remain fair and do not become a tool for social profiling.

Alongside these protections, we must establish robust data protection compliance mechanisms to handle the highly sensitive information gathered during a report. Because nearly 80% of trafficking journeys pass through official border points, the volume of personal data being shared across international lines is immense. Any centralized reporting hub must operate under strict privacy standards, such as GDPR or equivalent international privacy laws, to ensure that the identity of the victim is protected and that their data is only shared with authorized law enforcement agencies. These safeguards prevent the misuse of sensitive information and ensure that reporting a crime does not lead to further victimization through data breaches or the exposure of vulnerable individuals.

Furthermore, the entire enforcement architecture must be grounded in victim-first response standards to protect the human rights of the exploited. This "trauma-informed" approach ensures that the primary goal of any intervention whether in-flight or at an airport is the safety and dignity of the victim rather than just the apprehension of the trafficker. In practice, this means providing victims with immediate access to multilingual support, medical care, and legal assistance, rather than treating them as criminals for possessing the fraudulent travel documents often provided by their exploiters. By centering the victim's needs, the aviation sector can fulfill its role as a protector of human rights while dismantling the networks that thrive on their abuse.

Finally, these safeguards must include whistleblower protections for aviation staff who report suspicions in good faith. Flight attendants, ground crew, and security personnel must be legally and professionally shielded from any liability or retaliation if a reported suspicion turns out to be unfounded. This legal "safe harbor" is essential for encouraging the vigilance needed to spot trafficking in a high-pressure environment. By protecting the responders, the industry ensures that the "eyes and ears" of the aviation system remain active and alert, creating a resilient defense that balances operational efficiency with a non-negotiable commitment to human safety.

VIII.A. Regulatory Authority Boundaries

IATA

As the committee convenes, the primary challenge lies in defining the scope of authority within the international aviation ecosystem, specifically regarding how IATA can impose mandatory operational obligations without overstepping the sovereignty of individual states. Delegates must critically examine the legal hierarchy where IATA, as a commercial industry body, interacts with the sovereign mandates of national governments. The central question for state delegates is how to harmonize a global "Standard" for trafficking detection while preserving the absolute right of a nation to manage its own borders and internal security protocols. This requires a clear distinction between "administrative compliance" required for aviation safety and "law enforcement actions" which remain the sole prerogative of the state.

Within this framework, the committee must determine which tools are legitimate for a non legally binding body to ensure global compliance. Mechanisms such as IATA membership requirements, the rigorous IOSA audit process, and conditional access to exclusive industry programs serve as powerful levers to enforce anti trafficking measures without requiring a formal international treaty. Delegates representing airlines must evaluate the risk of legal exposure if these industry mandates conflict with domestic laws, while NGOs will push for these "commercial sticks" to be used as a primary method for holding carriers accountable for their role in the transport of exploited persons. The legitimacy of these tools depends on their ability to create a "uniform security floor" across all member airlines, regardless of the regulatory strength of their home state.

Finally, the success of a unified framework hinges on how effectively IATA protocols can be aligned with binding state legislations and international criminal laws like the Palermo Protocol. Delegates must address the "alignment gap" to ensure that when an airline reports a suspicion under an IATA mandated protocol, the information is legally admissible and actionable by national law enforcement. This alignment is vital for protecting both the airline from liability and the victim from further exploitation within a "no man's land" of conflicting jurisdictions. By establishing a clear authority bridge between industry standards and state legislation, the committee can move toward an architecture that treats aviation security and global crime control as a single, coordinated mission.

VIII.B. Political and Economic Trade-Offs

IATA

Delegates must confront the reality that every new security mandate carries a significant financial and operational price tag which may disproportionately affect smaller carriers and developing nations. The aviation industry is fundamentally built on the "business of freedom," where speed and efficiency are the primary drivers of global connectivity and economic growth. Implementing mandatory anti-trafficking protocols requires substantial investment in recurring staff training, the development of secure reporting software, and the potential for costly flight delays if a suspicion must be investigated before takeoff. Delegates should ask whether the global community is prepared to accept a slower or more expensive travel experience in exchange for a higher level of human rights protection. They must also consider if the financial burden of compliance should be shared through international funds or if it should fall entirely on the individual airlines, potentially putting smaller operators at a competitive disadvantage compared to larger, better funded carriers.

From a political perspective, the trade-off involves a tension between international humanitarian reputation and national economic interest. Some states may fear that implementing strict, binding standards will lead to their airports being labeled as high-risk zones, potentially discouraging tourism and foreign investment. There is also the political risk of "false positives," where the aggressive pursuit of trafficking indicators could lead to the profiling of innocent travelers, resulting in diplomatic tensions or legal challenges. Delegates must evaluate whether their governments are willing to risk these political frictions to establish a unified global front against organized crime. The negotiation must determine if the long term benefit of a more secure and ethically sound aviation network outweighs the short term political and economic costs of building and enforcing such a rigorous architecture.

VIII.C. Red Lines and Risks

Negotiating a unified regulatory framework requires each delegate to identify their national red lines, particularly concerning the potential misuse of enhanced screening powers. A significant risk in the expansion of detection protocols is the danger of racial, ethnic, or religious profiling under the guise of security. Delegates must ensure that any binding standard is strictly tethered to objective, behavioral indicators, such as signs of physical coercion or lack of control over travel documents, rather than discriminatory identifiers. Without explicit protections against profiling,

the framework risks undermining the very human rights it seeks to protect, potentially leading to the harassment of marginalized travelers and creating significant diplomatic and legal friction between Member States.

Furthermore, the committee must navigate the inherent tension between enhanced detection capabilities and the fundamental rights to privacy and freedom of movement. As we move toward more integrated intelligence sharing and the use of advanced passenger data, the risk of over-surveillance becomes a primary concern for many delegations. Delegates must decide where the "red line" lies regarding the collection and storage of sensitive passenger information. There is a legitimate fear that data intended to track traffickers could be repurposed for broader, unauthorized migrant monitoring or state surveillance, which would violate international privacy norms and erode public trust in the global aviation system.

The question of civil responsibility and legal liability also presents a major operational risk for the industry. If the proposed framework mandates that airline personnel act as the frontline of detection, there must be a clear legal "safe harbor" to protect staff from civil litigation in the event of a misidentified case. Without robust whistleblower protections and immunity for reports made in good faith, cabin crew and ground staff may hesitate to intervene, fearing retaliation from criminal syndicates or professional reprimand from their employers. Delegates must determine how to balance the need for accountability with the necessity of protecting the individuals who are tasked with carrying out these high-stakes security functions.

Finally, a critical point of debate for many delegates will be the threshold at which corporate responsibility becomes an unfair transfer of state obligations to private actors. There is a growing concern that by mandating extensive detection and reporting duties for airlines, states are effectively outsourcing their primary law enforcement and border control responsibilities to commercial entities. Delegates must ask whether it is ethically or operationally sound to expect airline employees, who are primarily trained for safety and service, to perform the complex investigative work traditionally reserved for specialized state agencies. Defining this boundary is essential to ensure that the framework remains a partnership of shared responsibility rather than a burden that compromises the economic viability of the aviation sector while absolving the state of its fundamental security duties.

IATA

VIII.D. Negotiation Preparation

To make progress, we need to have a clear understanding of what's required.We should follow the same standards, ensure every employee receives proper training, and participate in a shared platform to track risks together. If any part is missing, the whole system falls apart, much like a piece of furniture without its essential support. Agreements become stronger when we set clear boundaries, rather than relying on flexible promises that might falter under pressure. Each person plays a crucial role in maintaining safety; if someone neglects their responsibilities, it impacts everyone involved.

That said, it's important that our rules remain consistent, even as how we apply them may change to reflect different national situations. We can make progress by developing transport networks in stages and offering support that aligns with individual needs. When people find value in their participation, it's easier to align our actions without compromising legal independence. When states are concerned about border security, carriers want to minimize legal issues and reputational risks, and aid organizations focus on real improvements in people's lives, these shared interests pave the way for collaboration.

Success hinges on having clear, measurable goals. Participants need to agree on these objectives and verify them through unbiased assessments before talks begin. As new information comes to light, especially insights from trafficking survivors, we should be ready to adapt. Regular check-ins every few months help keep everyone on track and aligned. By working together we can turn common concerns into a coordinated effort. Ultimately, knowing what can't change helps us collaborate more successfully and strengthen our fight against trafficking. With protection and dignity in mind, we can make real progress.

IATA

IX. Bibliography

International Air Transport Association (IATA). (2023). *IATA at a glance.*

https://www.iata.org/en/about/

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2022).
World Wildlife Crime Report 2022. United Nations.
https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/data-and-analysis/wildlife.html

International Labour Organization (ILO). (2022).

Global Estimates of Modern Slavery: Forced Labour and Forced Marriage.

ILO, Walk Free Foundation, IOM.

https://www.ilo.org/global/topics/forced-labour/publications/WCMS_854733/lang--en/index.htm

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2022).
World Wildlife Crime Report 2022. United Nations.
Retrieved from https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/data-and-analysis/wildlife.html

World Customs Organization (WCO). (2021).
Illicit Trade Report 2021. WCO.
https://www.wcoomd.org/en/topics/enforcement-and-compliance/activities-and-programmes/illicit-trade-report.aspx

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). (2021).

Human Trafficking and Child Exploitation: Global Overview.

UNICEF.

https://www.unicef.org/protection/child-trafficking

IATA. (n.d.). *IATA Operational Safety Audit (IOSA).*
https://www.iata.org/en/programs/safety/audit/iosa/

IATA. (n.d.). *IOSA Standards Manual (ISM)*. Retrieved from https://www.iata.org/en/publications/manuals/iosa-standard-manual/

Airline Ambassadors International. (n.d.). *Human trafficking awareness and prevention for the aviation industry*. https://airlineamb.org

International Air Transport Association (IATA). (n.d.). *Human trafficking: Awareness and action for the airline industry*. https://www.iata.org

International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). (2018). *Guidelines for training cabin crew on identifying and responding to human trafficking* (Circular 352). https://www.icao.int

International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). (2022). *Enhancing the response to human trafficking in civil aviation: Proposal by the Dominican Republic* (Working Paper A41-WP/469). https://www.icao.int

International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). (2022). *ICAO's efforts to combat human trafficking in the aviation sector* (Working Paper A41-WP/449). https://www.icao.int

International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). (2023). *Reporting human trafficking by cabin crew: Procedures and international standards* (Doc 10171). https://www.icao.int

International Organization for Migration (IOM). (n.d.). *E-learning modules for aviation personnel on human trafficking detection*. https://www.iom.int

Polaris Project. (n.d.). *Human trafficking and transportation: Data insights and reporting protocols*. https://polarisproject.org

UK Gangmasters and Labour Abuse Authority (GLAA). (n.d.). *Industry-specific guidance: Identifying labor exploitation and trafficking in aviation*. https://www.gla.gov.uk

United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). (n.d.). *Protection of victims of trafficking in the context of international transport networks*. https://www.unhcr.org

IATA

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2000). *Protocol to prevent, suppress and punish trafficking in persons, especially women and children (The Palermo Protocol)*. https://www.unodc.org

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (n.d.). *Combatting human trafficking: Training for the aviation sector*. https://www.unodc.org

United States Department of Transportation (DOT), Advisory Committee on Human Trafficking (ACHT). (2024). *Final report and recommendations: A trauma-informed approach to aviation security*. https://www.transportation.gov

Daily Sabah. (2025, January 8). *Baby gorilla found during smuggling attempt at Istanbul Airport*. Daily Sabah. https://www.dailysabah.com/turkiye/baby-gorilla-found-during-smuggling-attempt-at-istanbul-airport/news

Environmental Investigation Agency. (2024, December 5). *Vietnam is a major global hub for wildlife crime and urgently needs international cooperation to tackle it more effectively*. https://eia-international.org/press-releases/vietnam-is-a-major-global-hub-for-wildlife-crime-and-urgently-needs-international-cooperation-to-tackle-it-more-effectively

Euronews. (2023, February 7). *Vietnam: 600 kg of ivory found in shells and 480 kg of scales seized*. Euronews. https://www.euronews.com/2023/02/07/vietnam-wildlife-trafficking

Global News. (2025, January 8). *Baby gorilla 'Zeytin' found in cargo hold of plane in Turkey*. Global News. https://globalnews.ca/news/10955615/baby-gorilla-zeytin-turkey-cargo-hold-plane/

INTERPOL. (2025, January 15). *Nearly 20,000 live animals seized, 365 suspects arrested in largest-ever wildlife and forestry operation*. https://www.interpol.int/en/News-and-Events/News/2025/Nearly-20-000-live-animals-seized-365-suspects-arrested-in-largest-ever-wildlife-and-forestry-operation

Mongabay. (2025, January 11). *Five-month-old male gorilla, victim of illegal wildlife trade, seized in Istanbul*. Mongabay.

IATA

https://news.mongabay.com/short-article/five-month-old-male-gorilla-victim-of-illegal-wildlife-trade-seized-in-istanbul/

Wildlife Justice Commission. (2024). *Wildlife Justice Commission report reveals major disruption in pangolin scale and ivory trafficking*. https://wildlifejustice.org/wildlife-justice-commission-report-reveals-major-disruption-in-pangolin-scale-and-ivory-trafficking-2/

World Animal Protection. (2018, November 21). *Expose: Traffickers exploiting Turkish Airlines in illegal wildlife trade*. https://www.worldanimalprotection.org/latest/press-releases/expose-traffickers-exploiting-turkish-airlines-illegal-wildlife-trade/

IATA